

DOI: 10.14744/ejmi.2019.18112 EJMI 2020;4(1):17–23

Research Article



The Correlation Between Systemic Inflammatory Response And Survival in Patients with Metachronous and Synchronous Liver Metastatic Colorectal Cancer

🔟 Elif Alp Posteki, 1 🔟 Esra Turan Erkek, 2 🔟 Gokhan Posteki, 3 问 Ahmet Erkek, 4 回 Mehmet Aliustaoglu 5

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Kocaeli Government Hospital, Kocaeli , Turkey ²Department of Hematology, Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kirdar Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ³Department of General Surgery, Kocaeli Government Hospital, Kocaeli, Turkey ⁴Department of General Surgery, Bahcesehir University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey ⁵Department of Oncology, Yeditepe University School of Oncology, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

Objectives: Recent data suggest that a low lymphocyte count in a colorectal tumor is associated with poor prognosis. The NLR has been shown to be an effective prognostic factor for survival in colorectal and ovarian cancer. It has been suggested that the preoperative NLR may be a simple method to identify patients with a poor prognosis of colorectal cancer. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the NLR on survival in patients with colorectal cancer who underwent liver metastasectomy.

Methods: The study included 76 patients who were admitted to the Medical Oncology Outpatient Clinic of Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital, who underwent liver metastasectomy and were followed up for colorectal cancer and whose clinical data and pre-metastasectomy laboratory values could be retrieved. The SPSS 17.0 software package was used in the analysis of the study. The mean, standard deviation, minimum-maximum, median, rate and frequency values were used for the descriptive statistics of the data. The distribution of the variables was assessed by the Kolmogorov Smirnov test. The independent samples t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used for the analysis of quantitative data. The chi-square test was used for analysis of qualitative data. The Cox regression and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis were used for survival analyses. Spearman's correlation analysis was used for correlation analyses.

Results: Age had a significant effect on survival time in the univariate model (p<0.05). Sex, colonic localization, lymph node involvement, histological grade, metastatic status, surgical margin, diameter of metastases, number of metastases, leukocytes, neutrophils, lymphocytes, NLR, CRP, albumin and CEA parameters had no significant effect on survival in the univariate model (p>0.05).

Conclusion: In our study, when a survival analysis was carried out on all variables, the only variable affecting survival was age. The mean age of the metasynchronous group was 56.2 ± 10.6 years, and the mean age of the synchronous group was 61.3 ± 11.1 years. Despite the numerical difference, there was no statistical significance (p=0.055). Although the mean age of the synchronous group was numerically higher, the survival was longer. This may be due to the short follow-up time and the small sample size.

Keywords: Colorectal cancer, liver metastatis, metasynchronous, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio

Cite This Article: Posteki EA, Turan Erkek E, Posteki G, Erkek A, Aliustaoglu M. The Correlation Between Systemic Inflammatory Response And Survival in Patients with Metachronous and Synchronous Liver Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. EJMI 2020;4(1):17–23.

Address for correspondence: Esra Turan Erkek, MD. Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kirdar Egitim ve Arastirma Hastanesi, Hematoloji Klinigi, Istanbul, Turkey Phone: +90 216 458 30 00 E-mail: dresraturan@gmail.com



Submitted Date: October 10, 2019 Accepted Date: December 17, 2019 Available Online Date: January 17, 2020 ^oCopyright 2020 by Eurasian Journal of Medicine and Investigation - Available online at www.ejmi.org

OPEN ACCESS This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

Although advances in the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer have improved survival in recent years, there are no simple markers that can be used to evaluate follow-up and treatment. The presence of inflammation has been used as evidence to evaluate poor prognosis in many cancer types.^[1] A simple biomarker, such as the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), may be significant for assessing the survival of metastatic colorectal cancer.

In cases of liver metastatic colorectal cancer, survival depends on tumor stage and varies with appropriate treatment. In nonmetastatic colon cancer, curative treatment is surgery. Colorectal cancers most commonly metastasize to the liver. If the lesion is surgically resectable in liver metastatic cases, it is removed in the same session; if not, it is surgically reevaluated after chemotherapy. In specific cases, local hepatic treatments can be administered in combination with surgery. Chemotherapy options are individually selected according to the status of the patient and disease. In colon cancers, radiotherapy can only be used for palliative purposes to relieve bleeding, obstruction and pain. Although neoadjuvant radiotherapy (RT) can be used in rectal cancers, chemoradiotherapy can also be used in cases where curative surgery cannot be performed.

Numerous studies have shown that inflammation is effective in the development and prognosis of disease in cardiovascular diseases and cancers.^[2] The presence of T lymphocytes in a tumor is indicative of a marked immune response to the lesion. Recent data suggest that low lymphocyte count in a colorectal tumor is associated with poor prognosis. The NLR has been shown to be an effective prognostic factor for survival in colorectal and ovarian cancer.^[3, 4] It has been suggested that the preoperative NLR may be a simple method to identify patients with poor prognosis in colorectal cancer.^[5]

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the NLR on survival in patients with colorectal cancer who underwent liver metastasectomy.

Methods

Patient Selection

The study included 76 patients who were admitted to the Medical Oncology Outpatient Clinic of Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital between January 2003 and April 2013, underwent liver metastasectomy and were followed up for colorectal cancer and whose clinical data and premetastasectomy laboratory values could be reached. It was found that 8 patients underwent emergency colorectal surgery, although they had metastasis to the liver at the time of diagnosis. These patients were excluded from the study since they may reduce disease-free survival and affect the systemic inflammatory response.

The patients were divided into two groups according to the detection of metastasis in the liver. Thirty-nine patients with metasynchronous liver disease had undergone metastasectomy, and 29 patients with synchronous liver disease had undergone metastasectomy and colorectal surgery.

Statistical Analysis

The SPSS 17.0 software package was used in the analysis of the study. The mean, standard deviation, minimummaximum, median, rate and frequency values were used for the descriptive statistics of the data. The distribution of the variables was assessed by the Kolmogorov Smirnov test. The independent samples t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used for the analysis of the quantitative data. The chi-square test was used for analysis of the qualitative data. The Cox regression and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis were used for survival analysis. Spearman's correlation analysis was used for correlation analysis.

Results

The study included 68 patients who were admitted to the Medical Oncology Outpatient Clinic of Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital between January 2003 and April 2013, underwent liver metastatic resection and were followed up for colorectal cancer and whose clinical data and baseline laboratory values could be reached.

When the general characteristics of 68 patients were evaluated, the numbers of males and females were equal. Of the patients, 61.8% were alive. The mean follow-up time was 32.6 months. It was found that 58.9% had rectosigmoid localization. Lymph node involvement, histologic grade, and the diameter and number of metastases were evaluated with regard to progression and survival. Lymph node involvement was detected in 67.6% of the patients. When histologic grade was evaluated, it was found that 8 (11.8%) were well differentiated, 49 (72.1%) were moderately differentiated, and 11 (16.2%) were poorly differentiated. The mean metastasectomy diameter was 3 cm. The median value of metastasectomy number was 1, and the removal of 11 metastatic foci measuring less than 1 cm in one lobe in only 1 patient increased the mean (Table 1).

The laboratory parameters of the patients were evaluated. In all patient groups, the median value of WBCs was 6915/ mm³, the median value of lymphocytes was 1705/mm³, and the median value of neutrophils was 4250/mm³.

In 60.3% of the patients, the lymphocyte value was above 1600/mm³. The median neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) was 3. It was found that of the patients, 64.7% had an NLR >2.5 and 11.8% had an NLR >5.

Table 1. General Features of the Patients

		Med (Min-Max)	M	ean±SD/ n·	-%
Age	58	34-85	58.4±11.0		
Gender					
Female			34		50.0
Male			34		50.0
Outcome					
Alive			42		61.8
Exitus			26		38.2
Follow up period (month)	28	3-93		32.6±21.0	
Colonic Localization					
Ascending			16		23.5
Trans			6		8.8
Descending			6		8.8
Rectusigmoid			40		58.9
lymph node involvement					
-			22		32.4
+			46		67.6
Histological grade					
Good			8		11.8
Moderate			49		72.1
Poor			11		16.2
Diameter of metastasis (cm)	3	1-7		3.06±1.6	

Sixty-eight colorectal cancer patients' premetastasectomy median value of albumin was 4 g/dl, and the median value of C-reactive protein (CRP) was 14 mg/L. The median value of CEA is 5, and a CEA value above 5 is considered to be a prognostic factor. The CEA value of 48.5% of the patients was above 5.

There was no significant difference between the metasynchronous and synchronous groups in terms of the age and sex distribution of the patients (p>0.05). The mean follow-up time of the patients was 30.9 months in the metasynchronous group and 35.0 months in the synchronous group. There was no significant difference, but the numerical difference was 4.1 months.

There was no significant difference between the metasynchronous and synchronous groups in terms of the distribution of colonic localization and the number of metastases (p>0.05). The lymph node involvement of the metasynchronous group was significantly higher and the histological grade was significantly better than those of the synchronous group (p<0.05). The metastasis diameter was significantly higher in the metasynchronous group than that in the synchronous group (p<0.05) (Table 2).

There was no significant difference between the metasynchronous and synchronous groups in terms of the NLR value, NLR >2.5 and NLR >5 (p>0.05). The mean NLR values were above 2.5 in both groups. There was no difference between the metasynchronous and synchronous groups in terms of leukocytes and neutrophils (p>0.05). The CRP value and CEA level were significantly lower in the metasynchronous group than those in the synchronous group (p<0.05). There was no significant difference between the metasynchronous and synchronous groups in terms of a CEA of >5 (p>0.05), and the CEA level was above 5 in both groups (Table 3).

The survival time was 51.69 months in the metasynchronous group and 61.73 months in the synchronous group,

Table 2. Comparative evaluation of the metachronous and synchronous liver metastatic colorectal cancer groups

	Metastesectomy State								
	Metachronous				Synchronous				
	Mean±SD/n-%		Med (I	Min-Max)	Mean±SD/n-%		Med (Min-May	Min-Max)	Р
Colonic Localization									
Asc.	9	23.1			7	24.1			0.575
Trans.	3	7.7			3	10.3			
Desc.	2	5.1			4	13.8			
Rectos.	25	64.1			15	51.7			
lymph node involvement									
+	17	43.6			5	17.2			0.022
-	22	56.4			24	82.8			
Histological grade									
Good	8	20.5			0	0.0			0.023
Moderate	24	61.5			25	86.2			
Poor	7	17.9			4	13.8			
Diameter of metastasis (cm)	3.6±1.5		4	1-7	2.2±1.2		2	1 - 6	0.000
Number of Metastasis	1.6	±1.6	1	0-11	1.3	±0.5	1	1 - 3	0.454

				Metastesect	omy State	•					
		Metachronous					Synchronous				
	Mean	Mean±SD/n-%		Med (Min-Mak)		Mean±SD/n-%		Med (Min-Mak)			
WBC	7517	±2867	6710	3580-14500	7458±2135 4918±1655 1690±684		7150	4890-11690	0.926		
NEU	4761	±2420	4090	1230-11000			4680	2690-8700	0.765		
Lymp	1864	4±733	1760	650-4170			1680	720-4300	0.323		
Lymp											
≤1600	16	41.0			11	37.9			0.796		
1600<	23	59.0			18	62.1					
NLO	2.9	2.9±2.1		1-13	3.3±1.7		3	1-10	0.487		
NLO											
≤2,5	15	38.5	9	31.0					0.526		
2,5<	24	61.5	20	69.0							
NLO											
≤5	35	89.7			25	86.2			0.654		
5<	4	10.3			4	13.8					
CRP	28	28±52		1-189	41±56		20	0-207	0.015		
Albumin	4	4±1		2-5	4±1		4	2-5	0.89		
CEA	14.2±20.8		4	1-88	88.6±213.8		14	1-951	0.024		
CEA											
≤5	23	59.0			12	41.4			0.15		
5<	16	41.0			17	58.6					

Table 3. The comparision of the laboratuary parameters between metachronous and synchronous liver metastatic colorectal cancer groups

and there was no difference between the two groups (p>0.05). There was a difference between the two groups after the 30th month, but it was not statistically significant.

The 1-year survival rate of the synchronous group was 97%, the 3-year survival rate was 61%, and the 5-year survival rate was 58%, while the 1-year survival rate of the metasynchronous group was 89%, the 3-year survival rate was 75%, and the 5-year survival rate was 72%.

Age had a significant effect on survival time in the univariate model (p<0.05). Sex, colonic localization, lymph node involvement, histological grade, metastatic status, surgical margin, diameter of metastasis, number of metastases, leukocytes, neutrophils, lymphocytes, NLR, CRP, albumin and CEA parameters had no significant effect on survival in the univariate model (p>0.05).

Discussion

Approximately 50-60% of patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer develop liver metastases, and 80-90% of these are not eligible for resection.^[6-9] The contribution of resection to survival has been reported to be 40-51% for three years and 25-38% for five years.^[10, 11] In colorectal cancer, liver metastasis is commonly metasynchronous, while synchronous liver disease is seen in approximately 20-34% of cases.^[12-14] In autopsy studies, it has been found that more than half of patients with colorectal cancer have liver metastasis, and it has been reported to be the most common cause of death.^[15]

In our study, of the patients with colorectal cancer who underwent liver metastasectomy, 43% (29 patients) had synchronous liver disease, while 57% (39 patients) had metasynchronous liver disease. The patients with synchronous liver metastasis underwent elective simultaneous colorectal surgery and metastasectomy, and the patients with metasynchronous liver metastasis underwent elective metastasectomy.

In 2006, Manfredi et al.^[16] evaluated the survival times of metasynchronous and synchronous liver diseases in 13,463 patients with liver metastatic colorectal cancer who underwent hepatic resection. It was found that the 1-year survival was 34.8% and that the 5-year survival was 3.3% in synchronous liver metastatic disease, while the 1-year survival was 37.6% and the 5-year survival was 6.1% in metasynchronous liver metastatic disease. In the 2010 study by Mekenkamp et al.,^[17] the clinical characteristics of 550 patients with liver metastasis who were not eligible for resection were evaluated. When metasynchronous liver disease (270 patients) and synchronous liver disease (280 patients) were compared, the predicted survival times were 18.5 months and 17.6 months, respectively, and there was no significant difference

(p=0.24). The presence of cured patients after metastasectomy increases the 5-year disease-free survival to 20%.^[18-20]

In our study, the 1-year survival was 89% and the 5-year survival was 72% in synchronous liver metastatic disease after metastasectomy. The 1-year survival was 97% and the 5-year survival was 58% in patients with metasynchronous liver metastasis. In synchronous liver disease, the 1-year survival was relatively worse, while the 5-year survival was better. The survival time was 51.7 months in metasynchronous liver disease, while the survival time was 61.7 months in synchronous liver disease. The survival difference between the two groups was not significant. (p=0.435) The expected survival time in both groups was longer compared to other studies in the literature.

In colorectal cancer, the presence of extrahepatic metastasis, more than three metastatic foci or a disease-free survival time shorter than 12 months are associated with poor prognosis.^[21-23] In our study, the disease-free survival after colorectal surgery was 9.8 months in the metasynchronous group. This may be one of the factors explaining the lower 5-year survival in the metasynchronous group.

In a 2006 retrospective study by Tsai et al.^[24] including 155 patients, it was found that multiple segment involvement (p=0.008) and bilateral lobe involvement (p=0.016) were significantly higher in synchronous liver disease than those in metasynchronous liver disease. In another 2010 study, it was revealed that the diameter and number of metastases were associated with surviva.^[25]

In our study, the number of patients with lymph node involvement in metasynchronous liver disease was significantly higher compared to synchronous liver disease. (p=0.022) The number of metastases was not found to be associated with survival, while the diameter of metastases was greater in the metasynchronous group. (p=0.000) These two factors are other factors that affect survival.

The neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been shown to be an indicator of inflammation in many studies. In the 2010 study by Chau et al.,^[26] the correlation between NLR >5 and survival was evaluated in 349 patients with stage 4 colorectal cancer. An ECOG performance score of \geq 1 (p=0.002), NLR >5 (p=0.01), hypoalbuminemia (p=0.03), and single-agent chemotherapy (p=0.0001) were found to be increased risk factors for progression. In the study by Lee et al. on 1061 patients with cervical cancer, it was found that patients with an NLR >1.9 were younger and had a lower stage. In patients with cervical cancer, the pretreatment NLR was evaluated as a poor independent prognostic factor.^[27]

In a 2012 study, NLO >2.5 examined before breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy and disease-specific survival were compared. Four hundred forty-two patients with breast

cancer were followed up between 2000 and 2010. The patients were classified according to age, histology (ductal, lobular, others), histologic grade, T stage, N stage, receptor status and molecular subtype. No correlation could be established between NLR >2.5 and disease-specific survival. However, there was a significant correlation with Luminal A, a molecular subtype (p=0.009).^[28]

In our study, 64.7% of 68 patients had an NLR >2.5, and the mean NLR value was 3.1 ± 2.0 . NLR >2.5 at the time of diagnosis may be an indicator of metasynchronous and synchronous liver metastasis. It was detected in 61.5% of the metasynchronous group and 69.0% of the synchronous group, but the difference between the groups was not significant (p=0.526). The mean value of the NLR was 2.9 ± 2.1 in the metasynchronous group and 3.3 ± 1.7 in the synchronous group. Although not statistically significant, there was a numerical difference between them. The mean NLR was above 2.5 in both groups. The reason for it not being statistically significant may be an insufficient number of patients.

In our study, the levels of CEA (carioembryonic antigen) and CRP (C-reactive protein) were significantly higher in the synchronous group than those in the metasynchronous group. (p>0.05-p=0.015) In a study of 243 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, the correlation between the CEA level, NLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and prognosis was evaluated. The CEA level (p<0.0001) and NLR (p=0.028) were shown to be independent predictors. ^[29] In the 2013 study by Nishikawa et al.,^[30] it was revealed that the CRP value examined before surgical resection in patients with hepatocellular cancer was predictive for recurrence. In our study, the detection of significantly higher CEA and CRP in the synchronous group may be considered to support the numerically high NLR in the synchronous group. In a study where the sample size is larger and the follow-up times are longer, different results can be obtained between the NLR and survival.

In our study, when a survival analysis was carried out on all variables, the only variable affecting survival was age. The mean age of the metasynchronous group was 56.2 ± 10.6 years, and the mean age of the synchronous group was 61.3 ± 11.1 years. Despite the numerical difference, there was no statistical significance (p=0.055).

Although the mean age of the synchronous group was numerically higher, the survival was longer. This may be due to the short follow-up time and the small sample size.

Conclusion

In patients with synchronous and metasynchronous liver metastatic colorectal cancer, the presence of NLO >2.5 in 61% and 69% of the patients before metastasectomy may

be cautionary in terms of liver metastasis for patients with colorectal cancer.

The mean value of the NLR was 2.9 ± 2.1 in the metasynchronous group and 3.3 ± 1.7 in the synchronous group. Although not statistically significant, there was a numerical difference between them. The reason for it not being statistically significant may be the small sample size.

There was no correlation between NLR >2.5 and the survival of synchronous and metasynchronous liver metastatic colorectal cancer. The numerically higher NLR and statistically significantly higher CRP values in the synchronous liver disease group can be evaluated as an indicator of the presence of systemic inflammation of synchronous liver metastatic colorectal cancer.

The inability to establish a correlation between systemic inflammation and survival can be attributed to three main causes. These are the short survival times in the metasynchronous group as opposed to the general group, the small sample size, and the short follow-up time. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to evaluate the markers of systemic inflammation.

Disclosures

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was approved by the Dr. L.Kırdar Kartal Training and Research Hospital Ethic Committee in March 2015.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Authorship Contributions: Concept – M.A.; Design – M.A.; Supervision – G.P.; Materials – G.P.; Data collection &/or processing – E.A.P.; Analysis and/or interpretation – A.E.; Writing – E.A.P.

References

- 1. W Chaua, K A Charles, V E Baracos, S J Clar, et al. Neutrophil/lympocyte ratio predicts chemoterapy outcomes in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 2011;104:1288–95.
- Imtiaz F, Shafique K, Mirza SS, Ayoob Z, et al. Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio as a measure of systemic inflammation in prevalent chronic diseases in Asian population. International Archives of Medicine 2012;5:2.
- Tsujimura A, Kawamura N, Ichimura T, et al. Telomerase activity in needle biopsied uterine myoma-like tumors: differential diagnosis between uterine sarcomas and leiomyomas. Int J Oncol 2002;20:361–5.
- Blake-Mortimer JS, Sephton SE, Carlson RW, et al. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte count and survival time in women with metastatic breast cancer. Breast J 2004;10:195–9.
- 5. Walsh SR, Cook EJ, Goulder F, Justin TA, Keeling NJ. Neutrophillymphocyte ratio as a prognostic factor in colorectal cancer. J Surg Oncol 2005;91:181–4.

- 6. Lee WS, Yun SH, Chun HK, et al. Pulmonary resection for metastases from colorectal cancer: prognostic factors and survival. Int J Colorectal Dis 2007;22:699–704.
- 7. Van Cutsem E, Nordlinger B, Adam R, et al. Towards a pan-European consensus on the treatment of patients with colorectal liver metastases. Eur J Cancer 2006;42:2212–21.
- 8. Yoo PS, Lopez-Soler RI, Longo WE, Cha CH.Liver resection for metastatic colorectal cancer in the age of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and bevacizumab. Clin Colorectal Cancer 2006;6:202–7.
- 9. Minagawa M, Makuuchi M, Torzilli G, Takayama T, Kawasaki S, Kosuge T, et al. Extension of the frontiers of surgical indications in the treatment of liver metastases from colorectal cancer: long-term results. Ann Surg 2000;231:487–99.
- Nordlinger B, Quilichini MA, Parc R, Hannoun L, Delva E, Huguet C. Hepatic resection for colorectal liver metastases. Influence on survival of preoperative factors and surgery for recurrences in 80 patients. Ann Surg 1987;205:256–63.
- Steven R. Alberts, William L., et al.Oxaliplatin, Fluorouracil, and Leucovorin for Patients With Unresectable Liver-Only Metastases From Colorectal Cancer: A North Central Cancer Treatment Group Phase II Study. JCO 2005;23:36:924.
- 12. Fong Y, Fortner J, et al. Clinical score for predicting recurrence after hepatic resection for metastatic colorectal cancer: analysis of 1001 consecutive cases. Ann Surg 1999;230:309–18.
- Muratore A, Zorzi D, et al. Asymptomatic Colorectal Cancer with Un-Resectable Liver Metastases: Immediate Colorectal Resection or Up-Front Systemic Chemotherapy? Annals of Surgical Oncology 2007;14:2:766–770.
- 14. Hayashi M, Inoue Y, Komeda K, et al. Clinicopathological analysis of recurrence patterns and prognostic factors for survival after hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastasis. BMC Surg 2010;10:27.
- 15. Foster JH. Treatment of metastatic disease of the liver: a skeptic's view. Semin Liver Dis 1984;4:170–9.
- 16. Manfredi S, Lepage C, et al. Epidemiology and Management of Liver Metastases From Colorectal Cancer. Ann Surg 2006;244:254–259.
- 17. Mekenkamp LJM, Koopman M, Teerenstra S. Clinicopathological features and outcome in advanced colorectal cancer patients with synchronous vs metachronous metastases. British Journal of Cancer 2010;103:159–164.
- 18. Stangl R, Altendorf-Hofmann A, et al. Factors influcing the natural history of colorectal liver metastases. Lancet 1994;343:1405–10.
- 19. Choti MA, Sitzmann JV, et al. Trends in long term survival following liver resection for hepatic colorectal metastases. Ann Surg 2002;235:759–66.
- 20. Pawlik TM, Scoggins CR, et al. Effect of surgical margin status on survival and site of recurrence after hepatic resection for colorectal metastases. Ann Surg 2005;124:715–122.

- 21. Adam R, Delvart V, Pascal G, et al. Rescue surgery for unresectable colorectal liver metastases downstaged by chemotherapy: a model to predict long-term survival. Ann Surg 2004;240:644–57.
- Elias D, Ouellet JF, Bellon N, Pignon JP, Pocard M, Lasser P. Extrahepatic disease does not contraindicate hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases. Br J Surg 2003;90:567–74.
- 23. Fong Y. Surgical therapy of hepatic colorectal metastasis. CA Cancer J Clin 1999;49:231–55.
- 24. Tsai MS, Su YH, Ho MC, et al. Clinicopathological features and prognosis in resectable synchronous and metachronous colorectal liver metastasis. Ann Surg Oncol 2007;14:786–94.
- 25. Zhang S, Gao F, Luo J, Yang J, et al. Prognostic factors in survival of colorectal cancer patients with synchronous liver metastasis. Colorectal Dis 2010;12:754–61.
- 26. Chua W, Charles KA, Baracos VE, Clarke SJ, et al. Neutro-

phil/lymphocyte ratio predicts chemotherapy outcomes in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 2011;104:1288–95.

- 27. Lee YY, Choi CH, Kim HJ, et al. Pretreatment neutrophil:lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic factor in cervical carcinoma. Anticancer Res 2012;32:1555–61.
- 28. Noh H, Eomm M, Han A.Usefulness of pretreatment neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in predicting disease-specific survival in breast cancer patients. J Breast Cancer 2013;16:55–9.
- 29. He W, Yin C, Guo G, et al. Initial neutrophil lymphocyte ratio is superior to platelet lymphocyte ratio as an adverse prognostic and predictive factor in metastatic colorectal cancer. Med Oncol 2013;30:439.
- 30. Nishikawa H, Arimoto A, et al. Pre-treatment C-reactive protein as a prognostic factor for recurrence after surgical resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. Anticancer Res 2013;33:1181–8.